

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/600,025	LARKIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David H Kruse	1638	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) David H Kruse.

(3) _____.

 (2) John W. Harbour.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2004
Time: PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

1-19 and 30-46

Prior art documents discussed:

Yoshimatsu et al 1996

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner indicated that if Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1-19 that new claims 30-46 would be allowable with minor wording changes to correct their form, and suggested amendments to the new claims that could allow a greater scope.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)